

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Α	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
	09/835,518	04/17/2001	Osamu Ichiyoshi	WN-2323	5687
	21254	7590 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
		GIBB, PLLC		TRINH, TAN H	
	8321 OLD CO SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VIENNA, V	A 22182-3817		2684	
				DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/835,518	ICHIYOSHI, OSAMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
T	TAN TRINH	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ -	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-25 and 27-29</u> is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 26</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
						Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in p priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_	•				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	,	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/835,518

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 11-25 and 27-29 are allowed.

Reasons for allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 11-25 and 27-29 are allowed with the same reasons set forth in the previous Office action (paper mailed on 6-03-2004).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barker (U.S. Pub. No. 20010023429) in view of Yamane (U.S. Patent No. 5,701,580)

Regarding claim 1, Barker teaches the data distribution satellite communication system (see fig. 1) comprising a communication satellite; a plurality of satellite communication terminals enabled to receive a signal from the communication satellite (see fig. 1), the data distribution satellite communication system providing, from the communication satellite to the plurality of satellite communication terminals with distribution business for a data signal in a broadcasting fashion (see figs. 1-4, page 1, sessions [0016]-[0017]); a satellite earth station (see fig. 1, satellite earth station (NOC 13)); the data distribution center (see fig. 1, data distribution

center (Content provider 11)) connected to the satellite earth station (see fig. 1, connection 12a); and return communicating means for enabling the data distribution center to receive a data request signal from the satellite communication terminals (see figs. 1-4, page 1, sessions [0016]-[0017]), and the data request signal indicative of an emergency level of data distribution (see page 2, session [0026], line 6). But, Barker fails to show the data request signal including a code indicative of an emergency level of data distribution that indicates a time interval.

However, Yamane teaches the data request signal including a code indicative of an emergency level of data distribution that indicates a time interval (see figs. 1-13, abstract, lines 1-18, col. 3, lines 5-28, col. 4, lines 34-65 and col. 7, lines 28-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Baker system by the teaching of Yamane on the information service system data with key code on the quick service (immediately report) or noneimmediately report required thereto in order to provide user with flexible choices.

Regarding claim 2, Baker teaches wherein the data request signal has, as the emergency level of the data distribution, a class indicative of instant, within ten minutes, within thirty minutes, within one hour, within six hours, within one day, within one week, a periodic distribution (see page 3, sessions [0042-0043].

Regarding claim 3, Baker teaches the return communicating means, comprises a ground communication network for each of the satellite communication terminals having no transmitting function to the communication satellite (see fig. 1, content provider 11 no transmitting function to the communication satellite item 12a and modem 18).

Regarding claim 4, Baker teaches wherein the satellite earth station comprises: satellite

communicating means for receiving (see fig. 1, NOC 13 and server 15), the data request signal

from the satellite communication terminal communicated via the communication satellite and

means for transferring the received signal to the data distribution center (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 5, Yamane teaches when the emergency level of the data distribution

indicates the instant, the data distribution center comprises instant data distributing means for

transmitting, via the satellite earth station and the communication satellite, a data signal

requested by the data request signal by preparing to a signal format including an

address of a request source as soon as possible (see figs. 1-13, abstract, lines 1-18, col. 4, lines

66-col. 5, line 28).

Regarding claim 6, Baker teaches when the emergency level of the data distribution of

the satellite communication terminal serving as a request source indicates no instant or the

periodic distribution, the data distribution center comprises means for preparing a reservation

signal including a distribution time instant as well as a reservation number to transmit the

reservation signal to the request source via the satellite earth station and the communication

satellite, and the satellite communication terminal of the request source comprising means for

receiving distribution data including said reservation number as an address at the distribution

time instant (see page 3, sessions [0040-0043].

Regarding claim 7, Baker teaches wherein the data distribution center comprises an electronic library means for storing a broad range of information for meeting a demand in users of the satellite communication terminals in an electronic form, the electronic library means establishing a home page indicative of the broad range of information on the Internet to submit retrieval of the users, the electronic library means distributing information requested in accordance with a data request of the users (see fig. 1 content provider 11 and internet 12, page 4, session [0051] and [0022]).

Regarding claim 8, Baker teaches the satellite communication educational institution (see fig. 1, the content provider 11 with the internet 12) comprising: a communication satellite (see fig. 1 satellite 14); a plurality of satellite communication terminals (see fig. 1, satellite communication terminal 13 and 15) each enabling to receive a signal from the communication satellite (see fig. 1); a satellite earth station for carrying out a principal communication via the communication satellite (see fig. 1); and a data distribution center connected to the satellite earth station by a communication channel (see fig. 1, data distribution center (content provider 11) to satellite earth station NOC 13) the data distribution center comprising an electronic library for storing collected information in an electronic form (see fig. 1 content provider 11 with Internet 12), the electronic library presenting stored contents to users of the satellite communication terminals to submit retrieval of the users (see fig. 1 content provider 11 and internet 12, page 4, sessions [0019-0020], [0051] and [0022]), the electronic library supplying information requested in accordance with a data request signal from the users (see page 2, sessions [0019-0020]), the data request signal of an emergency level of data distribution (see page 2, sessions [0019-0020])

0026 and 0040]). But, Barker fails to show the data request signal including a code indicative of an emergency level of data distribution that indicates a time interval.

However, Yamane teaches the data request signal including a code indicative of an emergency level of data distribution that indicates a time interval (see figs. 1-13, abstract, lines 1-18, col. 3, lines 5-28, col. 4, lines 34-65 and col. 7, lines 28-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Baker system by the teaching of Yamane on the information service system data with key code on the quick service (immediately report) or noneimmediately report required thereto in order to provide user with flexible choices.

Regarding claim 9, Baker teaches wherein further comprises a ground communication network for connecting the data distribution center and the plurality of satellite communication terminals (see fig. 1, content provider 11 connect to NOC 13 and server 15).

Regarding claim 10, Baker teaches wherein further comprises a data communication network for connecting the data distribution center and a database for information collection (see fig. 1, Internet 12).

Regarding claim 26, Yamane teaches wherein the data request signal comprises, as the emergency level of the data distribution one of instant, within ten minutes, within thirty minutes, within one hours, within six hours, within one day, with in one week, and a periodic distribution (see figs. 1-13, abstract, lines 1-18, col. 3, lines 5-28, col. 4, lines 34-65 and col. 7, lines 28-67).

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung, can be reached at (703) 308-7745.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-0377**.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh

Mar. 22, 2005

NICK CORSARO NICK CORSARO NARY EXAMINER